

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/826,885	04/16/2004	John J. Waycuilis	200306 USA	1419
7:	7590 10/31/2005		EXAMINER	
Law Office of Jack E. Ebel			NGUYEN, TAM M	
11735 Applewood Knolls Drive Lakewood, CO 80215			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1764	

DATE MAILED: 10/31/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			. /		
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	13		
Office Action Summary	10/826,885	WAYCUILIS, JOHN	·		
Omos housen cammary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Tam M. Nguyen ears on the cover sheet w	1764	Iress		
Period for Reply		,	•		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of thin ill apply and will expire StX (6) MOt cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this cor BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Ag	oril 2004.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	· ·	•	merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.E	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.		,			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			,		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 April 2004</u> is/are; a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	·		• •		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PT0	D-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received. s have been received in A	Application No	Yana		
 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau 	•	received in this national s	stage		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		received.			
and an annual and an					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/6/05</u> ; 9/6/05. 12/14/04		nformal Patent Application (PTO-	152)		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Application/Control Number: 10/826,885

Art Unit: 1764

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-20 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-22 of copending Application No. 11/101,886. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both sets of claims draw to a process for converting gaseous alkanes to liquid hydrocarbon by reacting the gaseous with bromine vapor. The copending claimed set does not specifically claim that the synthetic crystalline alumino-silicate catalyst is ZSM-5.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the process of copending claimed set by using ZSM-5 catalyst as a crystalline alumino-silicate catalyst because one of skill in the art would use any crystalline alumino-silicate catalyst including ZSM-5 with the expectation that any crystalline alumino-silicate catalyst would result in similar outcomes.

Application/Control Number: 10/826,885

Art Unit: 1764

26,885

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting

claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Tam M. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1452.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on (571) 272-1444. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tam M. Nguyen

Examiner

Art Unit 1764

TN

Zam-9/16/05 Page 3